## EXAMPLES OF WHY REDUCING VOLATILITY MATTERS

## Example \#1: High Avg. Return and High Volatility.

A $25 \%$ average return is really nice, but high volatility means the gains are consumed by recovering from losses and no real net growth occurs over this time period.

| Time Period | Annual Return | Portfolio Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Start |  | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Year 1 | $+100 \%$ | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Year 2 | $-50 \%$ | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Year 3 | $+100 \%$ | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Year 4 | $-50 \%$ | $\$ 100,000$ |
|  | Avg. Return $=+\mathbf{1 0 0} / 4=\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | Net Gain $=\$ 0$. |

## Example \#2: Half the Return and Half the Volatility.

If we divide the annual returns in Example \#1 by 2, volatility is cut in half, and so is the average annual return for this time period. This portfolio, however, experiences a much larger net gain than Example \#1, because there is less loss to make up for.

| Time Period | Annual Return | Portfolio Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Start |  | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Year 1 | $+50 \%$ | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Year 2 | $-25 \%$ | $\$ 112,500$ |
| Year 3 | $+50 \%$ | $\$ 168,750$ |
| Year 4 | $-25 \%$ | $\$ 126,562$ |
|  | Avg. Return $=+\mathbf{5 0} / 4=\mathbf{1 2 . 5} \%$ | Net Gain $=\$ 26,562=26.5 \%$ |

## Example \#3: Low Avg. Return and Low Volatility.

Volatility is very low in this example. Because there is little or no loss to overcome, a lower annual return can create a portfolio gain similar to Example \#2.

| Time Period | Annual Return | Portfolio Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Start |  | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Year 1 | $+6 \%$ | $\$ 106,000$ |
| Year 2 | $+6 \%$ | $\$ 112,360$ |
| Year 3 | $+6 \%$ | $\$ 119,102$ |
| Year 4 | $+6 \%$ | $\$ 126,248$ |
|  | Avg. Return $=+24 / 4 \%=6 \%$ | Net Gain $=\$ 26,248=26.2 \%$ |

